Minutes – December 14, 2010

Present:
Langley, K. Leclair, S. Mendes, V. O’Rielly, G. Ordoobadi, S. Parayitam, S.
Roscoe, D. Scarano, F. Tandon, A. White, S. Wang, S.

Absent
Altabet, M. Anderson, M. Bergandy, J. Berube, B Cheng, L. Curran, C. Darst, R.
Leffers, J. Li, Y. Magrass, Y. McCurry, M. Mollo, A. Peterson, S. Plaw, A.

Excused:
Boerth, D. Brodeur L.

Guests:
H. Bentz J. Blitefield S. Engelkemeyer A. Fowler A. Garro W. Hogan
S. Krumholz A. Tio P. Vigeant E. Winiarz

1. R., Golen moved and K. Langley seconded a motion to adopt the minutes of the November Faculty Senate meeting. Motion carried

2. President’s report G. O’Rielly
   G. O’Rielly thanked all the senators and committee members for their support of shared governance. He thanked the Provost’s office and the Steering Committee for helping him through his first semester as President.

Provost’s Report A. Garro
   - The library renovation plans are still scheduled to be completed during the Fall semester 2012.
   - The availability of low interest bond funds allowed for an addition to SMAST which is planned to finish about the same time as the library.
   - There will be laboratory renovations for both teaching and research space during the upcoming summer months
   - A proposed windmill situated near Cedar Dell Pond will have an open hearing and, if approved, is planned to start to serve as cogeneration for the campus in 2011
   - The campus is still struggling with the operational budget
   - Development work for the strategic plan 2011-2020 will begin this year. This year’s topic will be focused on curriculum and programs.
   Paul Vigeant is chairing the Organization and Planning Committee to develop a roadmap for big issues. Other committee members are A. Tio, M. Carrera, A. Fowler, and G. O’Rielly. Other issues include the student experience and returning to the initial principles of the land grant institutions. There will be a WIKI set up with links to the faculty to gather as many different points of view as possible.

3. General Education Committee D. Roscoe
   Attached is the copy of the presentation material
   • The difference between 2A and 2B could be considered as the difference between abstract and applied science.
• The sunset provision will allow for continued assessment that the course goals remain intact
• Currently, transfer students from Mass Compact campuses can be required to take 2 extra general education courses. The Task Force sees no reason not to continue supporting that requirement
• Next month’s presentation will be the learning outcomes and assessments.
• P. Bacdayan moved and J. Riley seconded the motion to adopt the Report of the General Education Task Force. Implementation shall be discussed at future meetings.

4. **Report on the Board of Trustees**

   P. Gibbs
   - L. Petrovic from UMD spoke to the Science/Technology Committee about the use of entrepreneurial incubators such as the ATMC.
   - The Advancement Committee reported that 1/3 goals its fund raising goal in complete. The Investments from were hard hit during 2008 have recovered significantly. There is a new computer nodule for alumni tracking.
   - The Athletic Directors from all the campuses reported to CASSA that athletes have a higher retention rate than non-athletes and their GPAs are very good. The committee reviewed the new Alcohol Intervention Plan.

5. **Senate Website**

   P. Gibbs
   The senate website is updated. There is space for committees to post minutes, materials, etc. The chairperson or secretary of a committee needs to be trained or they can send all material to P. Gibbs.

8. **Information Technology Plan**

   D. Massano
   D. Massano presented the new information technology plan. (See attachment) Additional information will be made available through meetings, and blogs, etc. There was a concern that purchasing was not following the current IT plan for faculty support.

9. **Ad Hoc Committee on Online Learning**

   J. Riley
   J. Riley announced that the material on Best Practice for Online Learning are available on the website.

10. **New Business**

    J. Riley moved and J. Griffith seconded a motion to include gender identity and expression" to the university’s non-discrimination policies and statements. Motion carried.

    P. Gibbs moved and K. Langley seconded a motion to adjourn. Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

Susan J. Leclair, Secretary
Attachment #1 – General Education Task force update

University Studies
The University Studies component of the Engaged Community is defined primarily by a set of required courses in various areas. These areas are constructed so that students will be exposed to academic experiences that will help them achieve the outcomes in the UMD Commitment to Student Learning.

The University Studies Curriculum
There are five Clusters in the University Studies Curriculum:

1. Foundations for Engagement: Skills for the 21st Century
   a. Critical Writing and Reading I – ENL 101 (3 credits)
   b. Critical Writing and Reading II – ENL 102 (3 credits)
   c. Intermediate Writing (3 credits)
   d. Mathematics (3 credits)
   e. Foundation for Learning through Engagement (3 credits)

2. The Natural World: Scientific Inquiry and Understanding
   a. Science of the Natural World (3 credits)
   b. Science in the Engaged Community (3 credits)

3. The Cultural World: Aesthetic and Interpretive Understanding
   a. Literature (3 credits)
   b. Visual and Performing Arts (3 credits)

4. The Social World: Humanity and Society
   a. Human Questions and Contexts (3 credits)
   b. The Nature of US Society (3 credits)
   c. The Nature of the Global Society (3 credits)

5. The Educated and Engaged Citizen: Integrating the UMD Experience
   a. Capstone Study (3 credits)
   b. Learning Through Engagement (3 credits)

University Studies Implementation
Administrative Structure

- University Studies Committee
  o approves all courses for University Studies (US) credit
  o designs assessments
  o reviews assessment results
  o makes recommendations for changes to US curriculum

- Director of University Studies
  o assures adequate courses and seats are available for all requirements
  o oversees assessment process
  o writes and presents assessment reports
  o collects and reviews syllabi for all courses taught
  o makes recommendation to US Committee regarding curriculum and assessment
  o coordinates with Office of Faculty Development to offer professional development
  o maintains the US website and promotes the US program

- Cluster Coordinators
  o identify and encourage submission of courses for US approval
  o make recommendations to US Committee regarding curriculum and assessment
  o provide a line of communication among faculty teaching in the Cluster and the US

Committee and Director
  o assist Director in assuring adequate courses and seats are available for Cluster requirements

Assessment

The Learning Outcomes in each Cluster will be assessed on a four year cycle:

- Year 1: Designing the assessment process.
- Year 2: Conducting the assessment.
- Year 3: Reporting assessment results.
- Year 4: Adjusting the curriculum.

The assessment process will be shaped by the University Studies Committee, in consultation with the Director of University Studies and the Cluster Coordinators. Because all approved courses must include assignments demonstrating student achievement on the designated learning outcomes, these course artifacts will likely be an important way in which the US program is assessed.

In addition, all approved courses will need to be re-submitted at the end of the 4 year cycle and will be considered anew by the US Committee in light of any adjustments to the learning outcomes, the results of the syllabus review undertaken by the Director and any other considerations related to the delivery of courses in that Cluster.
Timeline

Spring 2011
• Gen Ed Task Force determines a process for receiving US Course Approval Requests.
• Gen Ed Task Force proposes a policy for handling transition issues.
• Submission of US Course Approval Requests begins.
• Cluster Coordinators are identified to begin in the Fall.

2011  2012
• Submission of US Course Approval Requests continues.
• Approval of courses by US Committee begins (US Committee may adopt subcommittees to aid with review).
• The US Committee designs assessment for Cluster 1.

2012  2013
• New students matriculate under the new US program.
• Assessment of Cluster 1 is undertaken.
• The US Committee designs assessment for Cluster 2.
Attachment #2 – resolution
WHEREAS the University of Massachusetts Board of Trustees is engaged in the process of selecting the next President of the University of Massachusetts; and WHEREAS the policy for choosing campus chancellors has provided for a final set of candidates for these positions to visit the respective campuses, meet with the campus communities, and take questions from community members, IT IS MOVED THAT the Faculty Senate of the University of Massachusetts Dartmouth respectfully urge the Board of Trustees to extend this policy to the current search for a President. More specifically, after the Presidential Search Committee has chosen a final set of candidates for the position, and before the full Board of Trustees reaches a decision, we urge the Trustees to provide for open forums to be held in convenient locations so that the candidates can speak to and take questions from members of the University community.